

DAMTP-2000-64

Symplectic and orthogonal Lie algebra technology for bosonic and fermionic oscillator models of integrable systems

A. J. Macfarlane*, Hendryk Pfeiffer† and F. Wagner‡

*Centre for Mathematical Sciences,
Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, UK*

31-7-2000

Abstract

To provide tools, especially L -operators, for use in studies of rational Yang-Baxter algebras and quantum integrable models when the Lie algebras $so(N)$ (b_n, d_n) or $sp(2n)$ (c_n) are the invariance algebras of their R matrices, this paper develops a presentation of these Lie algebras convenient for the context, and derives many properties of the matrices of their defining representations and of the ad-invariant tensors that enter their multiplication laws. Metaplectic-type representations of $sp(2n)$ and $so(N)$ on bosonic and on fermionic Fock spaces respectively are constructed. Concise general expressions (see (5.2) and (5.5) below) for their L -operators are obtained, and used to derive simple formulas for the T operators of the rational RTT algebra of the associated integral systems, thereby enabling their efficient treatment by means of the algebraic Bethe ansatz.

1 Introduction

Let the compact real simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with generators X_i and totally antisymmetric structure constants be defined by

$$[X_i, X_j] = ic_{ijk}X_k \quad . \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the defining representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with matrices x_i given by $X_i \mapsto \gamma x_i$, where $\gamma = 1$ for all series of simple Lie algebras except $a_n = su(n+1)$, for which $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. We chose the basis so that

$$x_i^\dagger = x_i, \quad \text{Tr } x_i = 0, \quad \text{Tr } x_i x_j = 2\delta_{ij} \quad . \quad (1.2)$$

We define also the L -matrix by

$$L = x_i \otimes X_i \equiv x_i X_i \quad (1.3)$$

*e-mail: A.J.Macfarlane@damtp.cam.ac.uk

†e-mail: H.Pfeiffer@damtp.cam.ac.uk

‡e-mail: F.Wagner@damtp.cam.ac.uk

acting on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathcal{V}$ is the defining representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ any other representation. This matrix is an object of major importance for work on integrable systems defined with the aid of the rational Yang Baxter algebra on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}$

$$R_{12}(u-v)T_1(u)T_2(v) = T_2(v)T_1(u)R_{12}(u-v) \quad , \quad (1.4)$$

for which $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra under which the corresponding rational R matrix is invariant. Here the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the auxiliary vector spaces $\mathcal{V}$, and the $T_i(u)$ act on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is the quantum space. The $T_i(u)$ are defined in terms of the X_i , and may be used to provide the observables of the integrable system under study. In fact, one uses L in the construction of one-site solutions of $T(u)$ of (1.4), and then follows the procedure laid down by Sklyanin [1], to build N -site integrable models of spin chain type based on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

In the study of integrable quantum mechanical models, it is widely accepted that much deeper insight into their structures – why things work as they do – is attained by generalising from some simple basic model, in which usually $\mathfrak{g} = su(2)$, to its counterpart for general $\mathfrak{g}$. Generalisation from $a_1 = su(2)$ to $a_{n-1} = su(n)$ is normally the easiest to achieve, and in part this is due to the fact that much more detailed and systematic knowledge is available in this case than is conveniently at hand for $c_n = sp(2n)$, $b_n = so(2n+1)$ and $d_n = so(2n)$.

The present paper originated in the study [2] of integrable systems in which the quantum space is the representation space of the metaplectic representation [3,4] of c_n for which there is an elegant realisation in terms of n pairs of (bosonic) oscillator variables. The system in question derives its interest in large part from the fact that it is one for which the determination of its physical eigenstates can be carried out, for $\mathfrak{g}$ ‘larger’ than a_1 , by an unnested application of the algebraic Bethe ansatz procedure [5,6]. (Cf. the treatment of [5,6] with that of [7] for $g = su(3)$, or of [8] and [9], which give rise to nested Bethe equations for the determination of the physical eigenstates of the models studied.)

Now the metaplectic realisation of c_n in terms of bosonic oscillators has a close analogue at a fairly deep structural level in which the spinor representations of $d_n = so(2n)$ are realised in terms of n pairs of Dirac fermionic operators. Just as the Hilbert space of the metaplectic representation of c_n consists of two irreducible subspaces of even and odd states, so also does the fermionic construction give rise to the two spinor representations of d_n of opposite chirality, carried by the subspaces of even and odd total fermion number. A deep treatment of this situation is contained in [10]. In general what can be done for one of the two types of theories admits a parallel treatment in the other. It is perhaps worth remarking that we here use the word metaplectic in the way that is usual in theoretical physics, primarily in relation to the c_n context. It is used more generally in the mathematical literature, as can be traced from [11].

Having discovered the relevance of the fermionic realisation of d_n in the context of integrable systems, it was natural to consider the extension of our results to b_n . This is easily achieved by adjoining a Majorana fermion to the variables of the realisation of d_n . However the need to take account of this renders awkward the Fock space treatment of the spinor representation of b_n that has been realised, making related models less favourable candidates for integrable system study. In this context, we observe that in the c_n and d_n examples, there is an obvious relationship between the models we build and the Lie algebra decompositions associated with the hermitian symmetric spaces [12] $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})/U(n)$ and $SO(2n)/U(n)$. There is no such relationship in the case of our $b_n = so(2n+1)$ examples.

To account for our interest in the two ‘metaplectic’ type theories, we remark that they are very favourably placed amongst the larger family of algebraic systems (see [13] for a review and [14] for an interesting example) that have nice descriptions in terms of oscillators. At the simplest level of construction, the ansatz

$$X_i = \gamma A^\dagger x_i A \quad , \quad (1.5)$$

produces an operator realisation of $\mathfrak{g}$ whenever the column vector A has either bosonic or fermionic creation operators as its set of $\dim \mathcal{V}$ components. Proof in each case is elementary. Roughly speaking, the two types of model that we are focusing our attention upon use only *half* as many pairs as bosonic or fermionic variables as eq. (1.5) does. To see this it is simplest to look ahead to eq. (4.2) for c_n and (4.8) for d_n where a single vector corresponding to A contains all creation and annihilation operators of the system. The advantages are not only that we have smaller Fock spaces to deal with and thus a gain in tractability, but also that specially simple properties of the operators L and $T(u)$ come into play, which allow considerable simplifications. In particular, we obtain strikingly concise general formulas, valid for all n , for the L -operators, see (5.2) for c_n and (5.5) for d_n below. These expressions make it very easy to show that L then obeys a quadratic equation. It is this property which makes the solution $T(u)$, displayed below as eq. (5.16) for a one-site solution of eq. (1.4), take on its particularly simple form. Another construction which, when it is compared to (1.5), in some sense shares the economy of the models we are talking about now, uses a vector of hermitian *Majorana* fermions. For one example of this, see [15]; for a more recent one, see [16]. Indeed, if the fermionic models of Sec. 4.2 are formulated in terms of Majorana fermions, their relationship to models like those of [15] and [16] can be seen to be quite close.

Our continuing studies of these problems have called for the systematic assembly of information about $c_n = sp(2n)$ and $so(N)$ (b_n and d_n). One reason why the work described here is claimed to be novel concerns the description we give of the matrices x_i of the defining representations $\mathcal{V}$ of these algebras. We have found it best to use a set labelled by a single index, rather than the more common alternative in which one uses, for example for $so(N)$, a basis in $\mathcal{V}$ given by $x_{ij} = -x_{ji} = (E_{ij} - E_{ji})/2$, where E_{ij} has as its only non-zero element a one where row i meets column j . Our choice arises mainly because the physical description of the models we are interested in favours a Cartan-Weyl basis for $\mathfrak{g}$, and the two-index labelled basis for $\mathfrak{g}$ does not relate very well to this. One consequence of our choice of basis is that it brings into the formalism ad-invariant analogues of the f and d tensors of $a_{n-1} = su(n)$. One of the benefits is seen in the ease with which one accumulates all the necessary data about the Lie algebras, representations of them including the ones important to our programme, and the associated L matrices.

The paper is organised as follows. Sec. 2.1 contains all the necessary information about the description of the Lie algebras c_n , b_n and d_n in Cartan-Weyl form. It is presented so that as much of the data as possible is encapsulated in the L matrix of the Lie algebra. It turns out that we can present the matrix L in an essentially standard form whose main features are determined by the way the positive roots are related to the simple ones: Sec. 2.1 aims at explaining this carefully. One says ‘essentially’ because the pattern observed for c_n and b_n , and available (and very simply) also for a_n , and even g_2 , is not visible immediately in the corresponding L for d_n . The features of d_n which obscure the simple pattern seen in other cases are associated with the fishtail extremity of its Dynkin diagram. However, by viewing the two equivalent fishtail-roots of the diagram suitably, the d_n pattern can be made to conform satisfactorily to that enjoyed by the other Lie algebras studied here.

Sec. 3 derives a compendium of results involving the matrices x_i of $\mathfrak{g}$ for c_n and, in unified form, for $so(N)$, certain associated matrices y_α , and the various ad-invariant tensors that enter naturally into the product laws of these matrices. The matrices y_α enter necessarily alongside the x_i to complete the basis of the space of all traceless $2n \times 2n$ hermitian matrices for c_n and $N \times N$ for $so(N)$. The treatment perhaps goes further than the needs of this paper make apparent. Even so it is certainly not comprehensive, although it should indicate viable methods of approaching further identities.

Sec. 4 describes the bosonic oscillator description of the metaplectic representation of c_n , and compares and contrasts this with the fermionic analogues for d_n and b_n , giving also some discussion of all the corresponding Fock spaces.

Sec. 5 discusses various matters associated with the fact that the L -operators dealt with in this paper obey quadratic equations. It begins by displaying concise and tractable formulas for the L operators of each of the oscillator systems of Sec. 4, and thence derives the important quadratic equations satisfied by these L -operators, mentioning also some a_n examples based on (1.5) for the purposes of comparison. Also it is shown how the equations for $L = x_i X_i$ can be used to provide product laws for the matrices/operators X_i involved in L . There are new results here. They are simple because L obeys a quadratic equation. But one could in principle seek similar results – for $X_{(i} X_j X_{k)}$ – whenever L obeys a cubic equation, and beyond. Also the practical relevance to the solution of the corresponding RTT equations (1.4) of the fact that L -operators obey quadratic equations is indicated briefly. Further, the correlation between that property of such an L -operator acting in a space $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ (cf. (1.3)), and the fact that this space reduces, under the action of the relevant invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, to a direct sum of exactly two subspaces, in each of which the quadratic Casimir $C_{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ takes on a fixed value, is noted, and a survey is given of the situations in which such a reduction takes place.

2 Cartan-Weyl form of the defining representations

We present the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of rank n and $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ in Cartan-Weyl form with generators $\mathbf{H} = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ of its Cartan subalgebra, positive roots $\mathbf{r}_\alpha$, and raising and lowering operators $E_{\pm\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - n)\}$. Then we have

$$[\mathbf{H}, E_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm \mathbf{r}_\alpha E_{\pm\alpha} \quad , \quad [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = \mathbf{r}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{H} \quad , \quad (2.1)$$

together with well-known non-trivial expressions for $[E_{+\alpha}, E_{\pm\beta}]$ whenever $\mathbf{r}_\alpha \pm \mathbf{r}_\beta$ is a non-zero root of $\mathfrak{g}$. The X_i of (1.1) are related to the Cartan-Weyl generators according to

$$\left\{ X_i : i \in \{1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}\} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_r : r \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ U_\alpha, V_\alpha : \alpha \in \{1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - n)\} \end{array} \right\} \quad , \quad (2.2)$$

where $\sqrt{2}E_{\pm\alpha} = U_\alpha \pm iV_\alpha$. For the defining representation $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $X_i \mapsto \gamma x_i$ with $\gamma = 1$, (except for a_n when $\gamma = 1/2$) so that we also employ the notation

$$\mathbf{H} \mapsto \mathbf{h}, \quad E_{\pm\alpha} \mapsto e_{\pm\alpha}, \quad \sqrt{2}e_{\pm\alpha} = u_\alpha \pm iv_\alpha \quad . \quad (2.3)$$

Further, for compact real $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $x_i^\dagger = x_i$ for all i , and hence $\mathbf{h}^\dagger = \mathbf{h}$, $e_{+\alpha}^\dagger = e_{-\alpha}$, but no such result will hold for a non-compact representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. We now turn case by case to the

definition of L -matrices for the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ of immediate interest, intending to comment below on the nearly standard form of the results displayed.

General references for background on Lie algebras and their representations are [17–19]. Another source of valuable information is [20].

2.1 The Lie algebras c_n

We begin with the case of $c_2 = sp(4)$, but everything generalises naturally for $c_n = sp(2n)$. For c_2 , the simple roots are $\mathbf{r}_1 = (1, -1)$ and $\mathbf{r}_2 = (0, 2)$ (see [17]: p64). The remaining positive roots are $\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{12} = (1, 1)$ and $\mathbf{r}_4 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_3 = 2\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{112} = (2, 0)$. Hence, in addition to (2.1), we have

$$[E_1, E_2] = \sqrt{2}E_3 \quad , \quad [E_1, E_3] = \sqrt{2}E_4 \quad , \quad (2.4)$$

together with results obtained from these in a well-known manner. In this basis, L from eq. (1.3) is of the form

$$L = h_1 H_1 + h_2 H_2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (e_{+\alpha} E_{-\alpha} + e_{-\alpha} E_{+\alpha}) \quad . \quad (2.5)$$

If we write the left tensor factor as matrices and the right one as operators, so that L reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & E_{-1} & E_{-3} & \sqrt{2}E_{-4} \\ E_1 & H_2 & \sqrt{2}E_{-2} & E_{-3} \\ E_3 & \sqrt{2}E_2 & -H_2 & -E_{-1} \\ \sqrt{2}E_4 & E_3 & -E_1 & -H_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (2.6)$$

then one can read the explicit forms of the matrices h_1 , h_2 and $e_{\pm\alpha}$ off (2.6) and verify that they do indeed provide a representation of the Lie algebra relations of c_2 , obtained from (2.1) and (2.4). Furthermore, and in part because of the $\sqrt{2}$ factors (which multiply the raising generators which belong to the *long* roots of c_2), the x_i clearly obey (1.2), and also

$$x_i^T = -J x_i J^{-1} \quad , \quad (2.7)$$

where J is the standard symplectic form, which in our representation (and in [21]) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad . \quad (2.8)$$

We turn next to c_3 . Here the symplectic form J has, as its only non-zero entries, $(1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1)$ down the main antidiagonal. The simple roots of c_3 , as given $\in \mathbb{R}^3$ ([17]: p64) are

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = (1, -1, 0) \quad , \quad \mathbf{r}_2 = (0, 1, -1) \quad , \quad \mathbf{r}_3 = (0, 0, 2) \quad , \quad (2.9)$$

and other positive roots are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_4 &= \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{12} \quad , & \mathbf{r}_5 &= \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{23} \quad , & \mathbf{r}_6 &= \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{123} \quad , \\ \mathbf{r}_7 &= 2\mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{223} \quad , & \mathbf{r}_8 &= \mathbf{r}_1 + 2\mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{1223} \quad , & \mathbf{r}_9 &= 2\mathbf{r}_1 + 2\mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{11223} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Given these the requisite form of L for c_3 can directly be inferred to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & & & & & \\ E_1 & H_2 & & & & \\ E_{12} & E_2 & H_3 & & & \\ E_{123} & E_{23} & \sqrt{2}E_3 & -H_3 & & \\ E_{1223} & \sqrt{2}E_{223} & E_{23} & -E_2 & -H_2 & \\ \sqrt{2}E_{11223} & E_{1223} & E_{123} & -E_{12} & -E_1 & -H_1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.11)$$

For clarity, we left out the entries involving the $E_{-\alpha}$ that should occupy the places above the main diagonal of (2.11). We have moreover employed a notation that at first glance might seem unduly clumsy in order to make evident some features of our construction of L that we return to below. We can however immediately read off (2.11) explicit expressions for the 6×6 matrices of the defining representation $\mathcal{V}$ of c_3 , namely h_1, h_2, h_3 and $e_{\pm\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, 9\}$, or, assigned in accordance with (2.9) and (2.10), for

$$\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 12, 23, 123, 223, 1223, 11223\} . \quad (2.12)$$

Then the Lie algebra of c_3 can be calculated from the matrices of $\mathcal{V}$, and seen to be of Cartan-Weyl form with roots given correctly by (2.9) and (2.10). In other words L as given by (2.11) is the Cartan-Weyl form of $L = x_i X_i$, wherein the matrices x_i of $\mathcal{V}$ obey the same algebra (1.1) as the abstract generators X_i .

We wish next to state in full all the steps of the recipe that enables the above construction to be applied to c_n of arbitrary rank n , and beyond. Consider first the placement of the $E_{\pm\alpha}$ in (2.11) in relation to the root system of c_3 . It can be seen to follow a systematic pattern, that will allow the exact form of L to be written down directly for *arbitrary* c_n . The form of L displayed above for c_2 in (2.6) of course conforms to the same pattern although we did not stop there to point this out. The patterns are easiest to see if one writes (2.6) schematically, displaying, at the site occupied by a given raising generator, only the corresponding root label

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & \\ 1 & x & & & \\ 12 & 2 & x & & \\ 112 & 12 & 1 & x & \end{pmatrix} , \quad (2.13)$$

and similarly (*cf.* (2.11)) for c_3

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & & \\ 1 & x & & & & \\ 12 & 2 & x & & & \\ 123 & 23 & 3 & x & & \\ 1223 & 223 & 23 & 2 & x & \\ 11223 & 1223 & 123 & 12 & 1 & x \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.14)$$

Since inspection of these displays surely makes plain their salient features, we now state our recipe in full. First a natural choice of Cartan subalgebra generators is made and they are placed down the main diagonal. Second the raising generators corresponding to the simple roots are placed down the first sub-diagonal. The symmetry present after performing the first step dictates how to perform the second one. Third, the positive root sums determine the rest

of the assignments according to the uniform pattern implicit in (2.13) and (2.14). Explicitly then consider e.g. the entry to (2.11) that sits in the place L_{51} , and look at the portion of the first sub-diagonal subtended by L_{51} . We find there, occupying the places $L_{54}, L_{43}, L_{32}, L_{21}$, the entries $-E_2, \sqrt{2}E_3, E_2, E_1$. Disregarding the minus sign and the root-two, these entries correspond to simple roots whose sum is $\mathbf{r}_{1223}$, and we therefore associate E_{1223} with the place L_{51} , so that the stage summarised in (2.14) is reached. Fourth, while we have disregarded the signs of elements L_{ij} for the *identification* of what raising operators to assign to places in L below the first sub-diagonal, these signs have to be supplied. This is simple to do because the pattern of signs in L possesses an evident and uniform pattern, trivial here and similarly for the case of b_n treated below. These patterns stem directly from our use of Racah metric forms, like (2.8) here and (2.17) below for b_n , in determining transposition properties, (2.7) here and (2.16) below for b_n and d_n , of the matrices x_i of $\mathcal{V}$. Fifth, factors $\sqrt{2}$ are inserted as needed in order to normalise the matrices x_i so that $\text{Tr } x_i x_j = 2\delta_{ij}$. It is easy to check the trace and transposition properties of the matrices of $\mathcal{V}$ read off displays like (2.15) by considering the matrix $A = a_i x_i$ for $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $\text{Tr } A^2 = a_i a_i$ and $A^T = -JAJ^{-1}$.

A state of affairs analogous to what has just been described is observed, below straightforwardly for b_n and (in a considerably more subtle form) for d_n , and found elsewhere [22] also for g_2 .

Our purposes here do not require us to address questions regarding the a_n family, but it is easy to present L for this family in a way that embodies very simply the features in focus just now. See the book [23] which uses matrices like L in a fashion that is quite similar to what is done here. Similarly see [11]. We turn next to the case of b_n .

2.2 The Lie algebras b_n

In this case, $b_n = so(2n+1)$, it is convenient to present results for $n = 2$. Despite the fact that $b_2 \cong c_2$, the results for $n = 2$ do make completely evident the full generalisation for all n . The simple and positive roots are in this case chosen to be $\mathbf{r}_1 = (1, -1)$, $\mathbf{r}_2 = (0, 1)$ [17], $\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{12} = (1, 0)$ and $\mathbf{r}_4 = \mathbf{r}_1 + 2\mathbf{r}_2 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{122} = (1, 1)$, and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & E_{-1} & E_{-3} & E_{-4} & 0 \\ E_1 & H_2 & E_{-2} & 0 & -E_{-4} \\ E_3 & E_2 & 0 & -E_{-2} & -E_{-3} \\ E_4 & 0 & -E_2 & -H_2 & -E_{-1} \\ 0 & -E_4 & -E_3 & -E_1 & -H_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.15)$$

Again all of h_1, h_2 and the $e_{\pm\alpha}$ can be read off (2.15) and shown to obey the correct Lie algebra relations. Here the corresponding 5×5 matrices x_i satisfy (1.2) and

$$x_i^T = -Mx_iM^{-1}, \quad (2.16)$$

where M is the Racah [21] form for b_2

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

The evident generalisation to b_n (for which the *short* roots are E_3 , E_{23} and E_{123}) gives for $n = 3$

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & & & & & & \\ E_1 & H_2 & & & & & \\ E_{12} & E_2 & H_3 & & & & \\ E_{123} & E_{23} & E_3 & 0 & & & \\ E_{1233} & E_{233} & 0 & -E_3 & -H_3 & & \\ E_{12233} & 0 & -E_{233} & -E_{23} & -E_2 & -H_2 & \\ 0 & -E_{12233} & -E_{1233} & -E_{123} & -E_{12} & -E_1 & -H_1 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.18)$$

Here the simple roots are [17]

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = (1, -1, 0) , \mathbf{r}_2 = (0, 1, -1) , \mathbf{r}_3 = (0, 0, 1) , \quad (2.19)$$

and the remaining positive roots can be inferred from (2.18) to be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{12} &= \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 = (1, 0, -1) , \\ \mathbf{r}_{23} &= \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 = (0, 1, 0) , \\ \mathbf{r}_{123} &= \mathbf{r}_{12} + \mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{r}_3 = (1, 0, 0) , \\ \mathbf{r}_{233} &= \mathbf{r}_{23} + \mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_2 + 2\mathbf{r}_3 = (0, 1, 1) , \\ \mathbf{r}_{1233} &= \mathbf{r}_{123} + \mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 + 2\mathbf{r}_3 = (1, 0, 1) , \\ \mathbf{r}_{12233} &= \mathbf{r}_{1233} + \mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{r}_1 + 2\mathbf{r}_2 + 2\mathbf{r}_3 = (1, 1, 0) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

One can also read from (2.18), whose upper triangular part involving the lowering generators has been suppressed, explicit matrices h_1, h_2, h_3 and $e_{\pm\alpha}$ where α runs through the set of values

$$1, 2, 3, 12, 23, 123, 233, 1223, 12233. \quad (2.21)$$

Then one can check that they satisfy the correct Lie algebra relations, with the same expressions for the roots as given in (2.19) and (2.20). We could also have presented the b_2 results in such a fashion using notation for b_2 like $E_3 = E_{12}$ since for b_2 we have $\mathbf{r}_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 \equiv \mathbf{r}_{12}$, etc. The display (2.18) should make apparent that its nature and the properties conform, apart from minor variation of detail, to the description in Sec. 2.1 of the standard method of construction of L -operators.

2.3 The Lie algebras d_n

We begin by treating the case of $d_4 = so(8)$. The positive roots of d_4 are given [17] by $l_i \pm l_j$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, where the l_i denote the standard basis vectors of $\mathbb{R}^4$ (and similarly for d_n). For the simple roots we take

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = (1, -1, 0, 0) , \mathbf{r}_2 = (0, 1, -1, 0) , \mathbf{r}_3 = (0, 0, 1, -1) , \mathbf{r}_4 = (0, 0, 1, 1) . \quad (2.22)$$

Then for the remaining positive roots we have

$$\mathbf{r}_{12} = (1, 0, -1, 0) , \mathbf{r}_{23} = (0, 1, 0, -1) , \mathbf{r}_{123} = (1, 0, 0, -1) , \mathbf{r}_{24} = (0, 1, 0, 1) , \quad (2.23)$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{124} = (1, 0, 0, 1) , \mathbf{r}_{234} = (0, 1, 1, 0) , \mathbf{r}_{1234} = (1, 0, 1, 0) , \mathbf{r}_{12234} = (1, 1, 0, 0) . \quad (2.24)$$

The standard form of L for d_4 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & & & & & & & \\ E_1 & H_2 & & & & & & \\ E_{12} & E_2 & H_3 & & & & & \\ E_{123} & E_{23} & E_3 & H_4 & & & & \\ E_{124} & E_{24} & E_4 & 0 & -H_4 & & & \\ E_{1234} & E_{234} & 0 & -E_4 & -E_3 & -H_3 & & \\ E_{12234} & 0 & -E_{234} & -E_{24} & -E_{23} & -E_2 & -H_2 & \\ 0 & -E_{12234} & -E_{1234} & -E_{124} & -E_{123} & -E_{12} & -E_1 & -H_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.25)$$

As before h_r , $r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and the $e_{\pm\alpha}$ can be read off, their Lie algebra relations checked and seen to be correct. So also can the x_i be obtained. They satisfy (1.2) and (2.16), where, for M , we use the 8×8 analogue of (2.17). But we see that the nice pattern of assignments of generators to places in L has been modified for d_4 , not only because E_4 appears below the first main sub-diagonal, but also in other respects. Much the same applies to general d_n .

To see exactly that and how (2.25) and its $2n \times 2n$ generalisation for L for d_n conform to the pattern observed above for c_n and b_n , we recall the Dynkin diagram of d_n has a line of linked points labelled $1, 2, \dots (n-3)$ leading to the node $(n-2)$ from which separate the two lines of the fishtail to the points $(n-1)$ and n . For d_4 , above, it is the fishtail roots $\mathbf{r}_3$ and $\mathbf{r}_4$ are somehow responsible for obscuring the standard nature of the display (2.25) for L , and thereby the correct method of generalising it. One way to find a suitable analogue of previous patterns locked within (2.25) is simply to leave out row 5 and column 5, which reveals a reduced display given *schematically* by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & & & & \\ 1 & x & & & & & & \\ 12 & 2 & x & & & & & \\ 123 & 23 & 3 & x & & & & \\ 1234 & 234 & 0 & 4 & x & & & \\ 12234 & 0 & 234 & 24 & 2 & x & & \\ 0 & 12234 & 1234 & 124 & 12 & 1 & x & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.26)$$

One could alternatively have left out row and column 4 from (2.25). All the features seen in previous cases are apparent here. The zero arises here because $\mathbf{r}_3 + \mathbf{r}_4$ is not a root, just as zeros in (2.15), (2.18) and (2.25) reflect the fact that if $\mathbf{r}$ is a root then $2\mathbf{r}$ is not. The schematic nature of (2.26) should be emphasised. It does not, in the present case, even formally coincide with an L -operator, but it does unambiguously indicate how the required L -operator is to be written down: it tells which E_α must go in which place, leaving only the easy insertion of signs to complete the construction.

For the case of $d_3 \cong a_3 = su(4)$, the standard form for L is

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & & & & & & \\ E_1 & H_2 & & & & & \\ E_{12} & E_2 & H_3 & & & & \\ E_{13} & E_3 & 0 & -H_3 & & & \\ E_{123} & 0 & -E_3 & -E_2 & -H_2 & & \\ 0 & -E_{123} & -E_{13} & -E_{12} & -E_1 & -H_1 & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.27)$$

which corresponds, as the above discussion requires, to the ‘reduced display’

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & \\ 1 & x & & & \\ 12 & 2 & x & & \\ 123 & 0 & 3 & x & \\ 0 & 123 & 13 & 1 & x \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.28)$$

2.4 Mention of g_2

Finally we refer to a construction [22] of an L -operator for g_2 that is based on the fact that g_2 is a non-symmetric subalgebra of b_3 .

We here exhibit the lower triangular form of L in schematic form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & & & \\ 1 & x & & & & & \\ 12 & 2 & x & & & & \\ 112 & 12 & 1 & x & & & \\ 1112 & 112 & 0 & 1 & x & & \\ 11122 & 0 & 112 & 12 & 2 & x & \\ 0 & 11122 & 1112 & 112 & 12 & 1 & x \end{pmatrix} . \quad (2.29)$$

The pattern of the places of the non-simple roots relative to the simple ones here, despite its non-trivial nature, conforms very closely to that found for the c_n and b_n families. We refer to [22] for explanation and full detail.

3 Explicit results for the defining representations

3.1 Results for c_n

We begin with the important fact that, in virtue of (1.2) and (2.7), the matrices x_i possess the completeness relation

$$x_{iab} x_{icd} = (x_i \otimes x_i)_{ac,bd} = \delta_{ad} \delta_{cb} - J_{ac} J_{bd} = P_{ac,bd} - K_{ac,bd} . \quad (3.1)$$

The indices i, a here vary respectively through ranges from 1 to $n(2n+1) = \dim c_n$, $2n = \dim \mathcal{V}$, which for c_2 is equal to 10 and 4. Also P is the permutation operator, and K , to within a constant factor, projects onto the trivial representation in the decomposition of $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}$.

However in many contexts to which the algebras c_n relate, it is necessary to introduce the number $n(2n-1) - 1 = (2n+1)(n-1)$ (equal to 5 for c_2) of matrices y_α that complete the basis – an $su(2n)$ basis in fact – of all traceless hermitian $2n \times 2n$ matrices. We define them so that

$$y_\alpha^\dagger = y_\alpha, \quad \text{Tr } y_\alpha = 0, \quad \text{Tr } y_\alpha y_\beta = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{Tr } x_i y_\alpha = 0 , \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$J y_\alpha J^{-1} = y_\alpha^T . \quad (3.3)$$

To account for the number of the y_α , we note that there are $n(2n+1)$ symmetric matrices Jx_i and $n(2n-1)$ antisymmetric ones: Jy_α and J itself.

Since $x_i x_j + x_j x_i$ is converted to its transpose under conjugation by J , it follows that we can write

$$x_i x_j + x_j x_i = \frac{2}{n} \delta_{ij} + d_{ij\alpha} y_\alpha \quad . \quad (3.4)$$

Given an explicit choice of the y_α , (3.4) defines the ad-invariant tensor $d_{ij\alpha}$ so that $d_{ii\alpha} = 0$, as well as $d_{ji\alpha} = d_{ij\alpha}$. Thus we have the product law

$$x_i x_j = \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} i c_{ijk} x_k + \frac{1}{2} d_{ij\alpha} y_\alpha \quad , \quad (3.5)$$

so that

$$i c_{ijk} = \text{Tr } x_i x_j x_k, \quad d_{ij\alpha} = \text{Tr } x_i x_j y_\alpha \quad . \quad (3.6)$$

Since the x_i and y_α have the same trace properties (1.2) as a standard set of $2n \times 2n$ Gell-Mann matrices λ_A of $a_{2n-1} = su(2n)$, their well-known [24] completeness relation yields, upon use of (3.1),

$$y_{\alpha ab} y_{\alpha cd} = \delta_{ad} \delta_{cb} + J_{ac} J_{bd} - \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd} = (P + K - \frac{1}{n} I)_{ac, bd} \quad , \quad (3.7)$$

where P and K are as in (3.1). It is obvious that alongside (3.5), we should write also

$$\begin{aligned} x_i y_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} i h_{i\alpha\beta} y_\beta + \frac{1}{2} d_{ij\alpha} x_j \quad , \\ y_\alpha y_\beta &= \frac{1}{n} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} i h_{i\alpha\beta} x_i + \frac{1}{2} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} y_\gamma \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

These are justified by consideration of behaviours under conjugation with J , the requirement that $d_{\alpha\alpha\gamma} = 0$, and by noting that the taking of traces explains why certain tensors appear twice in the three product laws.

One of the roles of the tensor $d_{ij\alpha}$ arises in the need to define Casimir operators of degree four and higher [25, 26]. For c_2 for example, alongside $\mathcal{C}_2 = X_i X_i = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } L^2$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr } L^4 = t_{ijkl} X_i X_j X_k X_l \quad , \quad (3.9)$$

where t_{ijkl} is a totally symmetric ad-invariant fourth rank tensor. If one wishes to deal only with quantities which carry c_2 vector indices, then the argument of [27] is used to show that $x_{(i} x_j x_k)$, where the round brackets denote symmetrisation with unit weight over all indices enclosed by them, is converted into minus its transpose by conjugation with J . Thus one can write

$$x_{(i} x_j x_k) = v_{ijkl} x_l \quad , \quad (3.10)$$

thereby defining a tensor which is totally symmetric

$$v_{ijkl} = \text{Tr } x_{(i} x_j x_k) x_l = \text{Tr } x_{(i} x_j x_k x_l) \quad , \quad (3.11)$$

and which can serve in the role of t_{ijkl} in (3.9).

But v_{ijkl} can be expressed in terms of the $d_{ij\alpha}$. Thus insert (3.4) into

$$x_{(i} x_j x_k) = x_i \{x_j, x_k\} + x_j \{x_k, x_i\} + x_k \{x_i, x_j\} \quad , \quad (3.12)$$

and use (3.5) and (3.8). This produces a set of unwanted terms involving the y_α , which vanish by use of a suitable and obvious Jacobi identity, allowing the explicit identification

$$v_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{n} \delta_{(ij} \delta_{k)l} + \frac{1}{4} d_{\alpha(ij} d_{k)l\alpha} \quad , \quad (3.13)$$

which too is totally symmetric.

To go further for example for c_3 to build a sextic Casimir, one can repeat the argument of [27] for a totally symmetrised five-fold product of matrices x_i , or use the ad-invariant totally symmetric sixth rank tensor

$$d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d_{(ij}{}^\alpha d_{kl}{}^\beta d_{pq)}{}^\gamma \quad . \quad (3.14)$$

Here we raised certain indices to exempt them from the symmetrisation effect of the round brackets, which is trivial from a metric point of view.

One use of the $h_{i\alpha\beta}$ is to define a set $\mathcal{R}$ of matrices $(R_i)_{\alpha\beta} = -ih_{i\alpha\beta}$. These define a representation $\mathcal{R}$ of c_n of dimension $(2n+1)(n-1)$. To prove this one uses a simple rearrangement of the ordinary Jacobi identity of xyy type, to obtain

$$[R_i, R_j] = ic_{ijk} R_k \quad . \quad (3.15)$$

For c_2 , $\dim R_i = 5$, so that $\mathcal{R}$ is the vector representation of $b_2 = so(5) \cong c_2$.

Turning next to identities of various sorts, we use completeness relations to get

$$x_i x_i = (2n+1) \quad , \quad y_\alpha y_\alpha = \frac{1}{n} (2n+1)(n-1) \quad , \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_j x_i &= -x_j \quad , \quad y_\alpha x_i y_\alpha = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) x_i \quad , \\ x_i y_\alpha x_i &= y_\alpha \quad , \quad y_\alpha y_\beta y_\alpha = -\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) y_\beta \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Another important class of identities includes the following

$$\begin{aligned} c_{ijk} c_{ijl} &= 4(n+1)\delta_{kl} \quad , \\ d_{ij\alpha} d_{ij\beta} &= 4(n+1)\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \\ d_{ij\alpha} d_{ik\alpha} &= \frac{4}{n}(n^2-1)\delta_{jk} \quad , \\ h_{i\alpha\beta} h_{j\alpha\beta} &= 4(n-1)\delta_{ij} \quad , \\ h_{i\alpha\beta} h_{i\alpha\gamma} &= 4n\delta_{\beta\gamma} \quad , \\ d_{\alpha\gamma\mu} d_{\beta\gamma\mu} &= \frac{4}{n}(n-2)(n+1)\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

All these identities can be proved directly by the same method (although it is easier to get the third and the fifth from their predecessors). For the second, one uses the definition of $d_{ij\gamma}$ as a trace, followed by (3.1) and elementary properties of the y_α . One consequence of (3.18) and (3.5) is the formula giving the y_α in terms of the x_i

$$2(n+1)y_\alpha = d_{ij\alpha} x_i x_j \quad . \quad (3.19)$$

A similar approach (in the proof of which (3.17) is very useful) works also for identities like

$$\begin{aligned} c_{piq} c_{qjr} c_{rkp} &= -2(n+1)c_{ijk} \\ c_{pqk} d_{ip\alpha} d_{jq\alpha} &= \frac{2}{n}(n+2)(n-1)c_{ijk} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

There are many like this of evident structure all proved the same way.

To finish the discussion of identities, one recalls the set of Jacobi-type identities listed as first class identities in [24] and repeated in [26]. There are many possibilities: xxx , xyx , xyy

and yyy versions for each type. Two of these have been used, as needed, above. Another one gives rise to the useful result

$$c_{ijl} c_{kml} = \frac{4}{n} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{jk}) + d_{ik\alpha} d_{jm\alpha} - d_{im\alpha} d_{jk\alpha} \quad . \quad (3.21)$$

And for second class identities – those that depend on the use of characteristic equations – see [26].

Finally, we give the eigenvalues of the Casimir operator $\mathcal{C}_2$ for c_n for the representations $\mathcal{V} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\mathcal{R} = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $Ad = (2, 0, \dots, 0)$, the adjoint representation with matrices $(F_i)_{jk} = -ic_{ijk}$. We need $x_i x_i$, $(R_i R_i)_{\beta\gamma} = h_{i\alpha\beta} h_{i\alpha\gamma}$ and $(F_i F_i)_{kl} = c_{ijk} c_{ijl}$. Using (3.16) and (3.18), it follows that $\mathcal{C}_2$ has eigenvalues $(2n+1)$, $4n$ and $4(n+1)$ for $\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{R}$ and Ad . These results agree with general formulas for the eigenvalues of Casimir operators given in [28].

3.2 Results for $so(N)$

We may use for $\mathcal{D}$ matrices x_i which obey (1.2) and $Mx_i M^{-1} = -x_i^T$ for all N , unifying the discussion and properties of tensors for $b_n = so(2n+1)$ and $d_n = so(2n)$. So $\dim x_i = N$. Since $(Mx_i)^T = -Mx_i$, we can introduce also matrices y_α such that My_α , like M itself, is symmetric. One needs a number $\frac{1}{2}(N-1)(N+2)$ of them. In fact, it is simpler to pass from the representation of these used so far to an equivalent one with antisymmetric matrices $\hat{x}_i$ and symmetric matrices $\hat{y}_\alpha$. We do this here without supplying any hats. The change of representation does not affect the values or the properties of the tensors which enter the product laws below, but simplifies the derivation of the properties. These laws are

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_j &= \frac{2}{N} \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} i c_{ijk} x_k + \frac{1}{2} d_{ij\alpha} y_\alpha \quad , \\ x_i y_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} i h_{i\alpha\beta} y_\beta + \frac{1}{2} d_{ij\alpha} x_j \quad , \\ y_\alpha y_\beta &= \frac{2}{N} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} i h_{i\alpha\beta} x_i + \frac{1}{2} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} y_\gamma \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Since the discussion follows the pattern of Sec. 3.1 closely, and the notation conforms to that used there, we simply list results. Completeness relations:

$$x_{iab} x_{icd} = (x_i \otimes x_i)_{ac,bd} = \delta_{ad} \delta_{cb} - \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} = (P - Q)_{ac,bd} \quad , \quad (3.23)$$

$$y_{\alpha ab} y_{\alpha cd} = \delta_{ad} \delta_{cb} + \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} - \frac{2}{N} \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd} = (P + Q - \frac{2}{N} I)_{ac,bd} \quad , \quad (3.24)$$

where, as before, P is the permutation operator, and Q is the analogue for $so(N)$ of the operator K used above, (3.1), for c_n . Analogues of (3.16):

$$x_i x_i = (N-1) \quad , \quad y_\alpha y_\alpha = \frac{1}{N} (N+2)(N-1) \quad . \quad (3.25)$$

Analogues of (3.17):

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_j x_i &= x_j \quad , \quad y_\alpha x_i y_\alpha = -(1 + \frac{2}{N}) x_i \quad , \\ x_i y_\alpha x_i &= -y_\alpha \quad , \quad y_\alpha y_\beta y_\alpha = (1 - \frac{2}{N}) y_\beta \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Analogues of (3.18):

$$c_{ijk} c_{ijl} = 2(N-2) \delta_{kl} \quad ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{ij\alpha} d_{ij\beta} &= 2(N-2)\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad , \\
d_{ij\alpha} d_{ik\alpha} &= \frac{2}{N}(N^2-4)\delta_{jk} \quad , \\
h_{i\alpha\beta} h_{j\alpha\beta} &= 2(N+2)\delta_{ij} \quad , \\
h_{i\alpha\beta} h_{i\alpha\gamma} &= 2N\delta_{\beta\gamma} \quad , \\
d_{\alpha\gamma\mu} d_{\beta\gamma\mu} &= \frac{2}{N}(N-2)(N+4)\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

The discussion of fourth rank totally symmetric tensors applies here with obvious minor changes. The matrices of the representation $(2, 0, \dots, 0)$ of dimension $\frac{1}{2}(N+2)(N-1)$ can be defined here too using $(S_i)_{\alpha\beta} = -ih_{i\alpha\beta}$. We note here that $(2, 0, \dots, 0)$ is not the adjoint representation of $so(N)$ but that $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is. This is in contrast to the situation for c_n for which $(2, 0, \dots, 0)$ is adjoint, and for which the coefficients $h_{i\alpha\beta}$ of (3.8) define the representation $(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, denoted $\mathcal{R}$ above.

Finally one observes that results for c_n translate into those for $so(N)$ by means of the substitution $2n = N \mapsto -N$ accompanied, often, by a change of sign. This is of course not a new observation – see [29] – although the sets of identities given are. Another matter discussed in [29] ‘explains’ the last remarks of the previous paragraph. For further discussion of such matters and many other related ones, see also the recent paper [30].

4 Special oscillator representations

4.1 The metaplectic representation $\mathcal{M}_n$ of c_n

Let a_μ , $\mu \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, denote a set of bosonic annihilation operators such that

$$[a_\mu, a_\nu^\dagger] = \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad . \tag{4.1}$$

Then for c_n we form the vector v with components v_a , $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ given by

$$v^T = (a_1^\dagger, \dots, a_n^\dagger, a_n, \dots, a_1) \quad , \tag{4.2}$$

and define the operators

$$X_i = \frac{1}{2}v^T x_i(Jv) \quad , \tag{4.3}$$

where J is the symplectic form defined in (2.7). It is now easy to use (4.3) and (4.2), as well as (2.7), to show (what the notation X_i in (4.3) implies), that these X_i obey the same commutation relations as do the x_i . Since the x_i by construction give a representation of c_n , so also do the operators X_i of (4.3). It acts in the Fock space of n sets of harmonic oscillator variables and constitutes the (reducible) metaplectic representation $\mathcal{M}_n$ [2–4, 31] of c_n or of $sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$. By use of the explicit forms used in section two for the matrices x_i of c_2 as well as (2.2), we find the results for c_2

$$\begin{aligned}
H_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\{a_1^\dagger, a_1\} \quad , \quad H_2 = \frac{1}{2}\{a_2^\dagger, a_2\} \quad , \\
E_1 &= a_1^\dagger a_2 \quad , \quad E_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_1^{\dagger 2} \quad , \quad E_3 = -a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \quad , \quad E_4 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_2^{\dagger 2} \quad , \\
E_{-1}^\dagger &= E_1 \quad , \quad E_{-\alpha}^\dagger = -E_\alpha \quad , \quad \alpha = 2, 3, 4 \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The hermiticity properties seen here reflect the fact that (4.4) defines the generators of an infinite dimensional unitary representation of the non-compact group $Sp(4, \mathbb{R})$. The operators

$$J_z = \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2) \quad , \quad J_+ = a_1^\dagger a_2 \quad , \quad J_- = J_+^\dagger \quad , \tag{4.5}$$

and $\frac{1}{2}(H_1 + H_2)$ span the maximum compact subalgebra of c_2 . Also the non-compact raising generators of c_2 , namely E_4, E_3, E_2 are the components of a standard set of spherical components K_μ of a vector operator (in the precise sense of Racah) with respect to the $su(2)$ algebra generated by the operators $\mathbf{J}$ in (4.5). The same applies to their adjoints $E_{-2}, -E_{-3}, E_{-4}$. Furthermore, and notably, the components of each of these vectors constitute a set of commuting operators, which is crucial for building up the basis states of $\mathcal{M}_2$, and for the solution of Gaudin models [32], and in algebraic Bethe ansatz work [2].

As all the operators X_i of (4.4) are bilinear in creation and annihilation operators, it follows that the Fock space $\mathcal{B}_2$ of $\mathcal{M}_2$ breaks into two subspaces $\mathcal{B}_{2\pm}$ of even and odd states in which the operators of c_2 act irreducibly. The ground state of $\mathcal{B}_{2+}$ is the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$ such that $a_1|0\rangle = a_2|0\rangle = 0$, and $\mathcal{B}_{2+}$ involves states of integral j , $\mathbf{J}^2 = j(j+1)$. These are constructed as $su(2)$ multiplets with respect to $\mathbf{J}$ by action of the (commutative) K_μ on the Fock vacuum. Indeed, states involving p applications of K_μ components have $j = p$. The situation with regard to $\mathcal{B}_{2-}$ is similar; its ground states are a $j = \frac{1}{2}$ doublet of states $a_1^\dagger|0\rangle, a_2^\dagger|0\rangle$, i.e. the states $|\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ in standard, $|j m\rangle$ notation, and the action of the K_μ produces $su(2)$ multiplets with j -values equal to one-half of an odd integer. Since p applications of K_μ components to the states of the ground state multiplet produces a state of $j = p + \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_2$ contains exactly one multiplet of states of each of the allowed j -values.

Most of the previous discussion generalises easily and obviously from the case of c_2 to c_n . The maximal compact sub-algebra of the algebra of c_n is of type $u(n)$. The non-compact ‘creation’ generators transform according to the representation of its $su(n)$ sub-algebra with highest weight twice that of the n -dimensional defining representation, $\mathcal{V}=(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ in highest weight notation, of $su(n)$. This does agree with the fact that the non-compact ‘creation’ generators of $\mathcal{M}_2$ belong to the vector representation of $su(2)$. For $\mathcal{B}_{n+}$, we see that the Fock vacuum is the ground state of the irreducible subspace of even states. These are arranged into $su(n)$ multiplets of the type $(2p, 0, \dots, 0)$, which correspond to totally symmetric tensors. Turning to $\mathcal{B}_{n-}$, it is clear that its ground states (lowest weight states) constitute an $su(n)$ multiplet of states which transform according to the representation $\mathcal{D}$ of $su(n)$. Application of non-compact ‘creation’ generators to these produces $su(n)$ multiplets of states $(2p+1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Thus $\mathcal{M}_n$ involves one multiplet of states for each $su(n)$ representation that corresponds to a totally symmetric tensor, and no others.

One may use the completeness relation (3.1), and $v^T J v = -n$, to show that the eigenvalue of $\mathcal{C}_2$ for $\mathcal{M}_n$ is

$$-\frac{n}{4}(2n+1) \quad . \quad (4.6)$$

We can see that the work of section 4.1 involves the splitting of c_n , $c_n = u(n) \oplus n_+ \oplus n_-$, where $u(n)$ is the maximal compact subalgebra of our non-compact realisation of c_n , and where n_+ contains the non-compact creation generators of c_n and, notably, like n_- is commutative. This is further exactly the same splitting as that of the Lie algebra underlying [12] the non-compact hermitian symmetric space $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})/U(n)$. The non-compactness of $\mathcal{M}_n$ follows from the hermiticity relations seen in (4.4). In general for c_n , the generators of n_- are *minus* the adjoints of those in n_+ .

4.2 Spinor representations of d_n

Let $c_\mu, \mu \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $\pi_\mu = c_\mu^\dagger$ denote a set of fermionic creation and annihilation operators

$$\{c_\mu, \pi_\nu\} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \quad . \quad (4.7)$$

Now we form the vector v

$$v^T = (c_1, \dots, c_n, \pi_n, \dots, \pi_1) \quad , \quad (4.8)$$

and define

$$X_i = \frac{1}{2} v^T x_i (M v) \quad , \quad (4.9)$$

where M is as in (2.17). It can be shown that, using (4.7) and (2.16) that the X_i of (4.9) obey the same commutation relations as do the matrices x_i . In this case of course we use those constructed for d_n in section 2.3 .

As before, we may translate (4.9), e.g. in the case of d_3 , into the explicit operator form

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= N_1 - \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad H_2 = N_2 - \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad H_3 = N_3 - \frac{1}{2} \quad , \\ E_1 &= c_1 \pi_2 \quad , \quad E_2 = c_2 \pi_3 \quad , \quad E_{12} = c_1 \pi_3 \quad , \\ E_3 &= c_2 c_3 \quad , \quad E_{13} = c_1 c_3 \quad , \quad E_{123} = c_1 c_2 \quad , \\ E_{-\alpha} &= E_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \quad , \text{ for all } \alpha \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Here $N_1 = c_1 \pi_1$ is a fermion number operator, *etc.* Again use of fermionic anticommutation relations to do a check of any Lie algebra relation here works correctly. The representation of d_n just constructed acts in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}_n$ of n Dirac fermions of dimension 2^n . The situation resembles closely that described in section 4.1. The space $\mathcal{F}_n$ breaks up into two subspaces $\mathcal{F}_{n\pm}$ of states of even and odd total fermion number in which (4.9) acts irreducibly because all the X_i are bilinear in fermionic variables. This also agrees with the fact that d_n has two inequivalent irreducible spinor representations of dimension 2^{n-1} . See [33], which contains a detailed description of the Fock space of dimension $2^8 = 256$ in the case $n = 8$.

We note here too the splitting of the Lie algebra $d_n = u(n) \oplus n_+ \oplus n_-$, where the $n_{\pm}$ are Abelian. This corresponds to that of the compact hermitian symmetric space $SO(2n)/U(n)$. Again the fact that $n_{\pm}$ is Abelian is important for the construction of the basis of the $\mathcal{F}_{n\pm}$. In fact each of the fundamental representations of $su(n)$ occurs once in $\mathcal{F}_n$, so that e.g. for $n = 4$ the basis of $\mathcal{F}_{n+}$ contains two singlets (the states of fermion number zero and four) and a $6 = (0, 1, 0)$, while $\mathcal{F}_{n-}$ contains $4 = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\bar{4} = (0, 0, 1)$.

4.3 The spinor representation of b_n

In this case we have to augment the c_{μ} and π_{μ} used in section 4.2 by a single Majorana fermion c in order to realise a representation of $b_n = so(2n + 1)$ like that of (4.9). This is a theme that has been developed considerably in previous work [15]. For a striking application of such thinking, see also [34] for Majorana parafermions.

The operator c obeys

$$c^{\dagger} = c \quad , \quad c^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad \{c, c_{\mu}\} = 0 \quad , \quad \{c, \pi_{\mu}\} = 0 \quad . \quad (4.11)$$

Forming

$$v^T = (c_1, \dots, c_n, c, \pi_n, \dots, \pi_1) \quad , \quad (4.12)$$

we employ once more the definition (4.9). This time it must be checked by an independent calculation, one which uses (4.12) and (4.11), that the X_i obey the same commutation relations as do the x_i , in this case those that belong to the defining representation $\mathcal{V}$ of b_n .

The explicit operators X_i here consist of the first two lines of (4.10) and in addition

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= c_3 c & , & \quad E_{23} = c_2 c & , \quad E_{123} = c_1 c & , \\ E_{1233} &= c_1 c_3 & , \quad E_{233} = c_2 c_3 & , \quad E_{12233} = c_1 c_3 & , \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

together with hermitian conjugation relations. Checks of their commutation relations using (4.7) and (4.11) do work.

In contrast to what was seen in section 4.1 and 4.2, in the splitting $b_n = u(n) \oplus n_+ \oplus n_-$, the $n_{\pm}$ are not commutative, making use of the elements of n_+ less convenient. This should be seen alongside the fact that there is no hermitian space with $G = SO(2N+1)$ and $H = U(N)$.

5 Quadratic equations for L

5.1 Case of c_n

We apply the completeness relation (3.1) of the matrices x_i of c_n to $L = x_i X_i$, where X_i is given by (4.3). This gives

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ab} &= \frac{1}{2} v_b (Jv)_a + \frac{1}{2} (Jv)_a v_b & , \\ &= (Jv)_a v_b - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} & . \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

or

$$L = (Jv) v^T - \frac{1}{2} \quad . \quad (5.2)$$

Hence

$$L^2 = \frac{1}{4} - (Jv) v^T + (Jv) (v^T Jv) v^T \quad . \quad (5.3)$$

Since $(v^T Jv) = -n$ follows from (3.1) and (3.2), we can eliminate $(Jv) v^T$ to obtain a quadratic relation for L . It is

$$L^2 + (n+1)L + \frac{1}{4}(2n+1) = 0 \quad . \quad (5.4)$$

5.2 Cases of b_n and d_n

The completeness relations for the matrices x_i of b_n and d_n take the same form (3.1), and as above, we get

$$L = \frac{1}{2} - (Mv) v^T \quad . \quad (5.5)$$

Since we find $v^T Mv = n$ for $so(2n)$ and $n + \frac{1}{2}$ for $so(2n+1)$, and hence $\frac{1}{2}N$ for all $so(N)$, it follows that for all $so(N)$

$$L^2 + \frac{1}{2}(N-2)L - \frac{1}{4}(N-1) = 0 \quad . \quad (5.6)$$

The result $\text{Tr } L = 0$ is compatible with (5.6), which implies that the eigenvalues of L are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}(N-1)$, because the former occurs with multiplicity $(N-1)$.

5.3 Some other examples

We here compare the examples of the two previous sub-sections with some $a_{n-1} = su(n)$ examples some of which employ the definition (1.5).

We look first at the case of $su(2)$, setting $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ in (1.5) and using $x_i = \sigma_i$, where the σ_i are Pauli matrices.

By considering the angular momentum addition problem for $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_1 + \mathbf{J}_2$ where $j_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $j_2 = j$ is arbitrary, it is seen that

$$L = x_i X_i = \sigma_i (\mathbf{J}_2)_i \quad , \quad (5.7)$$

has eigenvalues j and $-(j+1)$ corresponding to the total angular momentum values $j \pm \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that L obeys the quadratic equation

$$(L + (j+1))(L - j) = 0 \quad . \quad (5.8)$$

Equation (5.8) is compatible with $\text{Tr } L = 0$, in view of the multiplicities of its two eigenvalues. Equation (5.8) could also have been obtained by the method of Secs. 5.1 and 5.2.

The present example differs from those of these sub-sections, in that L refers to an arbitrary representation of $su(2)$, as opposed to specific ones albeit given in operator form. Indeed, for $su(2)$, each tensor product of the fundamental with another representation decomposes into exactly two irreducible components, so that in this case the quadratic relation is a property of the Lie algebra rather than of the representations involved. Therefore we have a quadratic relation (5.8) for each finite dimensional irreducible representation of $su(2)$, the vector space on which it acts being the quantum space $\mathcal{H}$ of some model.

We turn next to the case of $a_{n-1} = su(n)$. Setting $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_i = \lambda_i$, where these are the usual [24] Gell-Mann λ -matrices of $su(n)$, we use (1.5). It is to be noted that the latter definition uses twice as many oscillator pairs as does our c_n construction, (4.2).

Then the method of Secs. 5.1 and 5.2 shows that

$$L = x_i X_i = \lambda_i X_i \quad , \quad (5.9)$$

satisfies the equation

$$(L + 1 + \frac{N}{n})(L + \frac{N}{n} - N) = 0 \quad . \quad (5.10)$$

The operator $N = a_j^\dagger a_j$ here is the total bosonic number operator, which enters the calculations via $A^\dagger A = N$ in the notation of (1.5).

Putting $n = 2$ and replacing the operator N by its eigenvalue $2j$ leads back to (5.8). On the other hand, comparing this $su(2)$ example with the $c_1 \cong su(2)$ representation $\mathcal{M}_1$, we note the former used two while the latter needed only one oscillator pair of variables.

Since the representation operators X_i in eq. (1.5) involve exactly one creator and one annihilator each, the bosonic number operator N forms an invariant of this representation, i.e. $[N, X_i] = 0$. Thus the oscillator representation decomposes into invariant subspaces, one for each eigenvalue λ of N . Each subspace corresponds to the subspace of the bosonic Fock space generated by all states of a given number λ of quanta. Whereas for $n = 2$, each finite dimensional irreducible representation of $su(2)$ occurs exactly once in this decomposition, for higher n the oscillator representation contains due to the bosonic operators only representations which are totally symmetric tensor products of the fundamental representation. For $su(n)$, these are all representations whose highest weight is of the form $(\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$ in terms

of the fundamental weights or which correspond to a Young diagram with just one row of length λ .

In fact the $n = 3$ example deals with the direct product $(1, 0) \otimes (\lambda, 0)$ where λ is the eigenvalue of the operator N . Now

$$(1, 0) \otimes (\lambda, 0) = (\lambda + 1, 0) \oplus (\lambda - 1, 1) \quad . \quad (5.11)$$

For the latter two, the quadratic Casimir operator of $su(3)$, which in general has eigenvalues (see e.g. [35], where a factor $\frac{1}{9}$ occurs instead of $\frac{1}{3}$ here),

$$\mathcal{C}_2(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{3}(\lambda^2 + \lambda\mu + \mu^2 + 3\lambda + 3\mu) \quad , \quad (5.12)$$

has eigenvalues $\frac{1}{3}(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 4)$ and $\frac{1}{3}(\lambda + 1)^2$. Thus $L = x_i X_i$ with X_i given by (1.5) has eigenvalues $-\frac{1}{3}\lambda$ and $-\frac{1}{6}(\lambda + 3)$. Of course, (5.10) agrees with this when restricted to $n = 3$.

5.4 Relevance of the quadratic equation

If we consider rational solutions $T(u)$ and $R(u)$ of eq. (1.4) that are symmetric under the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we expect $T(u)$ to be of the form

$$T(u) = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j(u) P^{(j)}, \quad (5.13)$$

where $P^{(j)}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, are the invariant projectors decomposing

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H} = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k \quad (5.14)$$

into irreducible components. The $f_j(u)$ in eq. (5.13) denote rational functions which are to be determined from the condition (1.4).

In Sec. 5 we have shown that the operators L in our representations given by eq. (4.3) and (4.9) satisfy quadratic relations. This reflects the fact that $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H} = V_1 \oplus V_2$ decomposes under the action of the invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ into two components which are the eigenspaces of the quadratic Casimir operator $C_{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}}^{(2)}$. The L -operator has the same invariant subspaces, since

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}}^{(2)} &= \sum_j (x_j \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes X_j)^2 \\ &= \mu_1 P^{(1)} + \mu_2 P^{(2)} \\ &= C_{\mathcal{V}}^{(2)} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes C_{\mathcal{H}}^{(2)} + 2L \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

In such cases, we can use, for insertion into the RTT equation (1.4), the simple ansatz

$$T(u) = u\mathbb{1} + \eta L \quad (5.16)$$

with a constant η , since this incorporates the generic linear combination of all (i.e. both) invariant projectors as demanded by (5.13).

The advantage of (5.16) is furthermore, that this is precisely the same form of $T(u)$ as it appears for rational $su(n)$ symmetric models where an algebraic Bethe ansatz is not only available, but also tractable.

In fact, for $c_n = sp(2n)$ [2],

$$R(u) = u \mathbb{1} + \eta P - \frac{u\eta}{\eta(n+1) + u} K \quad (5.17)$$

together with $T(u)$ in (5.16) provide a solution of (1.4). Here $P_{ac,bd} = \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}$ flips the two tensor factors, and $K_{ac,bd} = J_{ac}J_{bd}$ projects (up to a factor) onto the trivial representation $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ denotes the defining representation of c_n .

The analogue for $\mathfrak{g} = so(N)$ ($N = 2n + 1$ for b_n and $N = 2n$ for d_n) is

$$R(u) = u \mathbb{1} + \eta P - \frac{u\eta}{\frac{1}{2}\eta(N-2) + u} Q, \quad (5.18)$$

where $Q_{ac,bd} = \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd}$ projects (again up to a factor) onto the trivial component of $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ here refers to the defining representation of $so(N)$. The R -matrices (5.17) and (5.18) are given in [8]. They were found in the study of models in which the quantum space $\mathcal{H}$ is a particular finite-dimensional irreducible representation.

5.5 Quadratic relations for finite dimensional quantum spaces

Of course, the arguments of Sec 5.4 are also available for finite dimensional irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ for which $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ decomposes into exactly two irreducible components.

For a_n we can select all representations with highest weight $(\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$ due to eq. (5.10). But besides this, we have for the conjugate representations

$$(1, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes (0, \dots, 0, \lambda) = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \lambda) \oplus (0, \dots, 0, \lambda - 1), \quad (5.19)$$

and similarly for the other representations whose highest weight is an integer multiple of a single fundamental weight.

Since tensor products of b_n , c_n and d_n representations tend to split into smaller components than their a_n counterparts, one expects fewer quadratic relations in these cases. In fact, for b_n , there is only one pair of representations

$$(1, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes (0, \dots, 0, 1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \oplus (0, \dots, 0, 1). \quad (5.20)$$

A similar decomposition is available for c_n , where

$$(1, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes (0, \dots, 0, 1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \oplus (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) \quad (5.21)$$

except for c_2 , where all

$$(1, 0) \otimes (0, \lambda) = (1, \lambda) \oplus (1, \lambda - 1) \quad (5.22)$$

due to the isomorphism $c_2 \cong b_2$.

Finally, for d_n we find quadratic relations for the decompositions

$$(1, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes (0, \dots, 0, \lambda) = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \lambda) \oplus (0, \dots, 0, 1, \lambda - 1) \quad (5.23)$$

and

$$(1, 0, \dots, 0) \otimes (0, \dots, 0, \lambda, 0) = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \lambda, 0) \oplus (0, \dots, 0, \lambda - 1, 0) \quad (5.24)$$

for both spinor representations of $d_n = so(2n)$. The algebra d_3 is an exception to these rules and admits many more quadratic relations due to $a_3 \cong d_3$. We remark that the R -matrices (5.17) and (5.18) are found [8] in the study of these pairs of finite-dimensional representations where the corresponding L matrix satisfies a quadratic relation.

If one could relax the requirement that the auxiliary space $\mathcal{V}$ is chosen as the defining representation (on which much of our discussion in the previous sections relied), there were a few more examples of quadratic relations. For b_n , they are

$$(0, \dots, 0, 1) \otimes (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0) = (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \oplus (\lambda - 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1). \quad (5.25)$$

For c_n , there are no more results, but for d_n ,

$$(0, \dots, 0, 1) \otimes (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0) = (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \oplus (\lambda - 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) \quad (5.26)$$

and

$$(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) \otimes (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0) = (\lambda, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0) \oplus (\lambda - 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1). \quad (5.27)$$

For d_4 there are in addition

$$(0, 0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, 0, \lambda, 0) = (0, 0, \lambda - 1, 1) \oplus (1, 0, \lambda - 1, 0) \quad (5.28)$$

and

$$(0, 0, 0, \lambda) \otimes (0, 0, 1, 0) = (0, 0, 1, \lambda - 1) \oplus (1, 0, 0, \lambda - 1). \quad (5.29)$$

5.6 Consequences for a_n

One can usefully proceed further and convert the quadratic equations for L into product laws for the operators X_i involved in L .

Look briefly first at (5.8), which refers to a_1 , although no new result emerges in this case. Using the product formula for $\sigma_i \sigma_j$ in (5.8) gives $\mathbf{J}^2 = j(j+1)$ and $-i\epsilon_{ijk} J_i J_j = J_k$. The latter equation, and likewise its counterparts in other cases below, contains no new information:

$$-i\epsilon_{ijk} J_i J_j = -\frac{1}{2}i\epsilon_{ijk} [J_i, J_j] = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ijl} J_l = J_k \quad . \quad (5.30)$$

In the case of (5.10), when the X_i are the generators of the irreducible representation $(\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$ of $su(n)$, the Kronecker delta term of the product law [24] for $\lambda_i \lambda_j$ leads to

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } L^2 = X_i X_i = \frac{1}{2} (n-1)\lambda(1 + \frac{\lambda}{n}) \quad . \quad (5.31)$$

The part containing f_{ijk} is treated as in the previous example. Thus we are left with the useful result

$$d_{ijk} X_i X_j = \frac{n+2\lambda}{2n} (n-2) X_k \quad , \quad (5.32)$$

which vanishes at $n = 2$.

We note first that (5.32) enables the calculation of the eigenvalues for $(\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$ of higher order Casimir operators. For example,

$$\mathcal{C}_3 = d_{ijk} X_i X_j X_k = \frac{1}{4} (n-1)(n-2)\lambda(1 + \frac{\lambda}{n})(1 + \frac{2\lambda}{n}) \quad , \quad (5.33)$$

which for $n = 3$ reads

$$\mathcal{C}_3(\lambda, 0) = \frac{1}{18} \lambda(\lambda+3)(2\lambda+3) \quad . \quad (5.34)$$

This agrees to within a factor due to normalisations with the result in [35]

$$\mathcal{C}_3(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{18} (\lambda - \mu)(\lambda + 2\mu + 3)(2\lambda + \mu + 3) \quad . \quad (5.35)$$

5.7 Consequences for c_n

Here, when the operators X_i are those of $\mathcal{M}_n$ as displayed in (4.4), use of (3.5) in (5.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} X_i X_i &= -\frac{1}{4} n(2n+1) \quad , \\ i c_{ijk} X_i X_j &= -2(n+1) X_k \quad , \\ d_{ij\alpha} X_i X_j &= 0 \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

Of these, the first agrees with (4.6), the second gives nothing new, but the latter does and is useful. It is worth remarking also that the operators X_i in (5.36) are represented by infinite dimensional matrices in the Fock space of $\mathcal{M}_n$. As above, one use of (5.36) is to calculate the eigenvalues for $\mathcal{M}_n$ of the higher order Casimir operators of c_n . For example, for

$$d_{\alpha(ij} d_{kl)\alpha} X_i X_j X_k X_l \quad , \tag{5.37}$$

only one term under the symmetrisation brackets contributes, doing so according to

$$\frac{1}{3} d_{ik\alpha} d_{jl\alpha} X_i X_j X_k X_l = \frac{1}{3} d_{ik\alpha} d_{jl\alpha} [X_i, X_j] X_k X_l \quad , \tag{5.38}$$

upon use of (5.36) again. Now use of (3.20) and (3.18) give an expression for the eigenvalue

$$\frac{2}{3} (n^2 - 1)(n + 2)(2n + 1) \quad . \tag{5.39}$$

One could pursue further the question of what is the ‘best’ definition of $\mathcal{C}_4$, and go on to $\mathcal{C}_r$ for higher even r .

Another use of (5.36) arose in the search [2] for solutions $T(u)$ of the Yang-Baxter algebra relations when, for the quantum space, we have taken the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{M}_n$. We may use (3.1) and (3.7) to write the c_n invariant solution matrix $R(u)$ (5.17) [2, 36] of the Yang-Baxter equation in the form

$$R_{13}(u) = a(u) I \otimes I + b(u) x_i \otimes x_i + c(u) y_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha \quad . \tag{5.40}$$

Then a natural strategy for passing to the required $T(u)$ is at hand. This replaces the auxiliary space 3 of R_{13} in (5.40) by the quantum space $\mathcal{H}$, and passes from (5.40) to $T(u)$, via $x_i \mapsto X_i$ and $y_\alpha \propto d_{ij\alpha} x_i x_j \mapsto Y_\alpha$, with $Y_\alpha \propto d_{ij\alpha} X_i X_j$. Since in the present case, the latter is seen from (5.36) to be a vanishing operator, solution of (1.4) turns out, as might be anticipated, to be particularly simple [2].

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by PPARC. F.W. is grateful to Trinity College, Cambridge for an IGS, and to EPSRC for a research grant. H.P. would like to thank DAAD for a scholarship ‘Doktorandenstipendium im Rahmen des gemeinsamen Hochschulsonderprogramms III von Bund und Ländern’.

References

- [1] E.K. Sklyanin. *Separation of variables. New trends*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Supp. **118** 35-60 (1995).

- [2] A.J. Macfarlane and F. Wagner. *Yang-Baxter algebra for the n -harmonic oscillator realisation of $sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$* , Phys. Lett. **B468** 244-250 (1999).
- [3] C. Itzykson. *Remarks on boson commutation rules*, Commun. Math. Phys. **4** 92-122 (1967).
- [4] S. Sternberg and J.A. Wolf. *Hermitian Lie algebras and metaplectic representations*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **238** 1-43 (1978).
- [5] L.D. Fadde'ev. *Recent advances in field theory and statistical mechanics*, Les Houches Lectures, eds. J. B. Zuber and R. Stora, Elsevier, 1984, pp561-608.
- [6] C. Gomez, M. Ruiz-Altaba and G. Sierra. *Quantum Groups in two dimensional physics*, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [7] N. Yu. Reshetikhin. *Calculation of the norms of Bethe Vectors for $SU(3)$ symmetry*, Zap. Nauchnyk. Sem. LOMI **150** 196-213 (1986) or J. Sov. Math. **46** 1694-1706 (1989).
- [8] N. Yu. Reshetikhin. *Integrable models of quantum one-dimensional magnets with $O(N)$ and $Sp(2k)$ symmetry*. TMF **63** 347-366 (1985) or Theor. Math. Phys. **63** 555-569 (1985).
- [9] O. Babelon, H.J. de Vega and C.M. Viallet. *Exact solution of the $Z_{n+1} \otimes Z_{n+1}$ symmetric generalisation of the XXZ model*, Nucl. Phys. **B200** 266-280 (1982).
- [10] G. Segal. *Unitary representations of some infinite dimensional groups*, Commun. Math. Phys. **80** 301-342 (1981).
- [11] J. Nekovář, *Higher Heisenberg Lie algebras and metaplectic representations*, J. reine angew. Math. **434** 115-126 (2000).
- [12] S. Helgason. *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, (1978).
- [13] M. Günaydin and C. Saçlıoğlu. *Oscillator-like representations of non-compact groups with a Jordan structure*, Commun. Math. Phys. **87** 157-179 (1982).
- [14] J. van der Jeugt. *Adjoint boson realisation of $SU(N)$ and a family of structural zeros of $6 - j$ coefficients*, J. Math. Phys. **35** 4383-4390 (1994).
- [15] A.J. Macfarlane. *Exactly soluble models with an odd number of Majorana fermions*, Phys. Lett. **B414** 111-116 (1997), and *Fermionic phase space of odd dimension*, in Proc. Internat. Workshop, symmetry methods in physics, Dubna, Russia (July 1995), JINR, Dubna (1996).
- [16] J.A. de Azcárraga and A.J. Macfarlane, *Fermionic realisations of simple Lie algebras and their group invariant fermionic operators*, Nucl. Phys. **B**, in press.
- [17] J.E. Humphreys. *Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer, 1972.
- [18] J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert. *Symmetries, Lie algebras and representations*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.

- [19] J.F. Cornwell. *Group Theory in Physics*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, 1984.
- [20] R. Slansky. *Group Theory for unified model building*, Phys. Rep. **79** 1-128 (1981).
- [21] G. Racah, *Group Theory and Spectroscopy*, Institute for Advanced Studies (1951); *Ergebnisse der Exakten Naturwissenschaften*, Springer (1965), Vol. 65.
- [22] A.J. Macfarlane. *g_2 technology*, in preparation.
- [23] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation Theory*, Springer (1991).
- [24] A.J. Macfarlane, A. Sudbery and P.H. Weisz, *On Gell-Mann λ -matrices, f and d tensors, octets and parametrisations of $SU(3)$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **11** 77-90 (1968).
- [25] J.A. de Azcárraga, A.J. Macfarlane, A.J. Mountain and J.C. Pérez Bueno. *Invariant tensors for simple groups*, Nucl. Phys. **B510** [PM] 657-687 (1998).
- [26] A.J. Macfarlane and Hendryk Pfeiffer. *On characteristic equations, trace identities and Casimir operators of simple Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **41** 3192-3225 (2000).
- [27] J.A. de Azcárraga and J.C. Pérez Bueno *Higher order simple Lie algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **184** 669-681 (1997).
- [28] S. Okubo. *Casimir invariants and vector operators*, J. Math. Phys. **18** 2382-2344 (1977).
- [29] P. Cvitanovic. *Negative dimensions and E_7 symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. **B183** 373-396 (1981).
- [30] R.C. King and B.G. Wybourne, *Analogies between finite dimensional irreducible representations of $SO(2n)$ and infinite dimensional irreducible representations of $Sp(n, \mathbb{R})$. I. Characters and products*, J. Math. Phys. **41** 5002-5019 (2000).
- [31] F. Wagner and A.J. Macfarlane. *Quantum integrable systems and oscillator representations of c_n* , Czech. J. Phys. **50** 213-219 (2000).
- [32] M. Gaudin. *Diagonalisation d'une classe d'Hamiltonians de spin*. J. Physique **37** 1087-1098 (1976); *La fonction d'onde de Bethe*. Masson 1983.
- [33] C. Chryssomalakos, J.A. de Azcárraga, A.J. Macfarlane and J.C. Pérez Bueno. *Higher order BRST and anti-BRST operators and the cohomology of compact Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **40** 6009-6032 (1999).
- [34] R.S. Dunne, *Majorana parafermions*, J. Math. Phys. **37** 452-459 (1996).
- [35] J.G. Kuriyan, D. Lurié and A.J. Macfarlane. *Algebraic tabulation of CG coefficients for SU_3* , J. Math. Phys. **6** 722-733 (1965).
- [36] P.P. Kulish and E.K. Sklyanin. *Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*, Zap. Nauchnyk. Sem. LOMI **95** 129-160 (1980) or J. Sov. Math. **19** 1596-1624 (1982).